

Dean K. Matsuura Manager Regulatory Affairs

June 30, 2009

FILE D

The Honorable Chairman and Members of the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission Kekuanaoa Building, 1st Floor 465 South King Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Commissioners:

Subject: Docket No. 2008-0303

Advanced Metering Infrastructure Project HECO Companies' Information Requests

Pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Procedural Order, as Modified, filed on April 21, 2009, attached are the Hawaiian Electric Companies' information requests ("IRs") regarding the testimonies of the Division of Consumer Advocacy ("CA") and Life of the Land ("LOL"), both filed on June 22, 2009, in the subject proceeding:²

Very truly yours,

Attachments

cc: Division of Consumer Advocacy Henry Q Curtis (Life of the Land) Warren S. Bollmeier II (HREA) Mark Duda (HSEA)

¹ The "Hawaiian Electric Companies" are Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc., Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc., and Maui Electric Company, Limited.

² Hawaii Renewable Energy Alliance ("HREA") also filed testimony on June 22, 2009. The Hawaiian Electric Companies' have no information requests for HREA. To the Companies' knowledge, the Hawaii Solar Energy Association ("HSEA") did not file testimony in the subject proceeding.

Docket No. 2008-0303

HECO Companies' Information Request to Division of Consumer Advocacy ("CA")

HECO/CA-IR-1

Ref: CA T-1, page 12, lines 2-10

Please provide additional detail regarding the distinction between the need for an AMI System and the need for the AMI project that the Companies have proposed in their application.

Docket No. 2008-0303

HECO Companies'
Information Requests to
Life of the Land ("LOL")

HECO/LOL-IR-1

Ref: LOL T-1, page 5, lines 12-25

Please clarify LOL's statement regarding disclosure of the confidential Sensus Agreement (Exhibit 1(A), filed with the Commission and served upon the Parties on May 4, 2009, under Protective Order filed April 15, 2009). Does LOL seek to discuss portions of the confidential Sensus Agreement with parties not covered by the Protective Order? If so, how would discussing the Sensus Agreement's effective date, termination conditions and AMI System Performance Specification with parties not covered by the Protective Order be necessary to the completion of LOL's testimony or its preparation for cross-examination?